

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL

held at the Council House, Nottingham,

on Monday 5 March 2007 at 2.00 pm

ATTENDANCES

Councillor Wilson	Lord Mayor
✓ Councillor Akhtar	✓ Councillor G N Khan
✓ Councillor Aslam	✓ Councillor Klein
Councillor Bloomfield	Councillor Lee
✓ Councillor Bull	✓ Councillor Liversidge
✓ Councillor Campbell	✓ Councillor Long
✓ Councillor Chapman	✓ Councillor Malcolm
✓ Councillor Charlesworth	✓ Councillor Markin
✓ Councillor A Clark	✓ Councillor Marshall
Councillor C A Clarke	✓ Councillor Mathews
✓ Councillor B Clarke-Smith	✓ Councillor Mir
Councillor Cobb	✓ Councillor Morris
✓ Councillor Collins	✓ Councillor Munir
✓ Councillor Cowan	✓ Councillor Packer
✓ Councillor Cresswell	✓ Councillor Palmer
✓ Councillor Culley	✓ Councillor Parbutt
✓ Councillor Dewinton	✓ Councillor Price
✓ Councillor Edwards	Councillor Shaw
✓ Councillor Foster	✓ Councillor J W E Smith
✓ Councillor Gibson	✓ Councillor Spencer
✓ Councillor Griggs	✓ Councillor Stapleton
✓ Councillor Grocock	✓ Councillor Stephenson
✓ Councillor Hartshorne	✓ Councillor Sutton
✓ Councillor Haymes	✓ Councillor Taylor
✓ Councillor Heppell	✓ Councillor Trimble
✓ Councillor Ibrahim	✓ Councillor Unczur
✓ Councillor James	✓ Councillor Urquhart
✓ Councillor A Khan	✓ Councillor Wood

64 CHAIR OF MEETING

In the absence of the Lord Mayor, the Chair was taken by the Deputy Lord Mayor, Councillor Munir.

65 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

In respect of agenda item 6 – Budget 2007/08, the following Members declared interests:-

Councillor Long declared a personal interest as his wife was employed by Nottingham Rehabilitation Services, who administer the funding for Integrating Community Equipment Services (ICES), which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Collins declared a personal interest as the Chair of the Renewal Trust due to the mention of funding for the St Ann's Allotment Project in the report, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Liversidge declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed member on the Renewal Trust due to the mention of funding for the St Ann's Allotment Project in the report, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Mathews declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed member on the Renewal Trust due to the mention of funding for the St Ann's Allotment Project in the report, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

In respect of agenda item 7 – Net Phase 2 – Transport and Works Act Order Submission, the following Members declared interests:-

Councillor Taylor declared personal interests as a City Council appointed director of the Greater Nottingham Rapid Transit Limited and a City Council appointed member of NET Development Board, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Grocock declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed director of Nottingham City Transport Limited, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Long declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed member of the NET Development Board, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Ibrahim declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed member of the Greater Nottingham Rapid Transit Advisory Committee, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Parbutt declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed director of Nottingham City Transport Limited, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Gibson declared personal interests as a City Council appointed director of Nottingham City Transport Limited and a City Council appointed member of the Clifton Community Centre Management Committee, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Edwards declared personal interests as a City Council appointed member of the NET Development Board and a City Council appointed director of Greater Nottingham Rapid Transit Limited, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor James declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed director on the Greater Nottingham Rapid Transit Limited, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Spencer declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed member of the Greater Nottingham Light Rapid Transit Advisory Committee, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Haymes declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed director of Nottingham City Transport Limited, which did not preclude her from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Malcolm declared a personal interest due to the potential discussion of issues relating to the proposed widening of A453 as he lived in close proximity to a former route option, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Urquhart declared a personal interest as a City Council appointed member on the NET Development Board, which did not preclude her from speaking or voting on that item.

In respect of agenda item 8 – Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Local Plan – ‘Saved’ Policies, the following members declared interests:-

Councillor Hartshorne declared a personal and prejudicial interest as a City Council appointed director of Enviroenergy Limited, and left the Chamber prior to the item being discussed and voted upon.

Councillor Smith declared a personal and prejudicial interest as a City Council appointed director of Enviroenergy Limited, and left the Chamber prior to the item being discussed and voted upon.

Councillor Edwards declared a personal and prejudicial interest as a City Council appointed director of Enviroenergy Limited, and left the Chamber prior to the item being discussed and voted upon.

Councillor Liversidge declared a personal and prejudicial interest as a City Council appointed director of Enviroenergy Limited, and left the Chamber prior to the item being discussed and voted upon.

NOTES:

Upon arrival at the meeting, (during consideration of agenda item 6), Councillor Clarke-Smith declared a personal interest in relation to agenda item 7 as a City Council appointed director of the Greater Nottingham Rapid Transit Limited, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

Councillor Liversidge declared a personal interest during discussion of agenda item 6 – Budget 2007/08, as his brother was employed as an

Occupational Therapist and these services had been raised during the debate, which did not preclude him from speaking or voting on that item.

66 QUESTIONS AND PETITIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC

Public Petitions

Councillor Culley submitted a petition to the Lord Mayor on behalf of 177 residents who were opposed to the development of a new ESSO Service Station and Tesco Express on Trowell Road, Wollaton.

67 MINUTES

RESOLVED that the minutes of the meeting held on 12 February 2007, be confirmed and signed by the Deputy Lord Mayor.

68 OFFICIAL COMMUNICATIONS

Thanks to Retiring City Councillors

The Leader of the Council thanked the following Councillors who were retiring before the Local Elections to be held in May 2007:-

Councillor Wilson	Councillor Taylor
Councillor Charlesworth	Councillor Stapleton
Councillor Mathews	Councillor Markin
Councillor Morris	Councillor Smith
Councillor Palmer	Councillor Cobb

The words of thanks were supported by Councillors Gibson, Bull, Trimble, Chapman, Long, Malcolm, Culley, Liversidge and Ibrahim, who all addressed full Council.

69 QUESTIONS

Use of Council Facilities

Councillor Long asked the following question of the Leader of the Council:-

Does the Leader of the Council believe that it is proper to produce election literature on Council equipment and would he be concerned if a master copy of such literature were found on a Council House photocopier?

Councillor Collins replied as follows:-

Thank you, Deputy Lord Mayor, and can I thank Councillor Long for his question.

All members of the Council should be aware of the protocol governing the use of council facilities by members and that the protocol prohibits the use of council resources to promote the views of a political party, which of course includes election literature. I have also made clear to Labour members that this extends to the use of City Council email addresses, phone numbers and photographs and I presume other parties have done likewise.

If there has been an incident of the kind Councillor Long suggests in his question, then no doubt he has already passed the information on to the appropriate officer so that it can be fully investigated. Alternatively, if he provides me with details I will ensure that they are passed to the Chief Executive so that the proper action is taken.

However, perhaps Councillor Long could indulge me with an answer to a concern I have over the misuse of Council resources for election purposes too. I think we saw this last week, this is a copy of the Focus for the Bridge Ward area and this is Tony Gillam.

Tony is the part-time Liberal Democrat Group Research Officer and probably represents about £7,000 a year of City Council resources. Tony is also a Councillor in Gedling, Leader of the Gedling Liberal

Democrat Group and according to Gedling Borough Council website lives on Burton Avenue in Carlton. So since his connection with the City seems to be through his job, what is he doing as a City Council employee featuring on the front page of the Bridge Ward Focus Newsletter, and also being described as "Focus edited by Lib Dems Councillor Saghir Akhtar and Tony Gillam".

Perhaps Councillor Long can tell me whether this is an example of Lib Dem misuse of Council's resources for election purposes, or just a particularly spectacular example of political carpet bagging as the City Lib Dems get ready to nominate him as a candidate in the City elections.

Thank you, my Deputy Lord Mayor.

Cultural Block

Councillor Wood asked the following question of the Portfolio Holder for Culture, Community Services and Tourism:-

Thank you, Deputy Lord Mayor.

Would the Portfolio Holder for Culture, Community Services and Tourism convey to his department our warm congratulations on achieving a 4 out of 4 for the CPA 2006 refresh of the cultural block. The dedication, professionalism and hard work of all concerned is appreciated and shouldn't we really be celebrating it in some way?

Councillor Unczur replied as follows:-

Thank you, Councillor Wood.

Thank you, my friend and colleague. I am particularly grateful to Malcolm for asking the question because I know he is passionate about culture and he is also doing a tremendous amount of good at the Euro Cities Cultural Forum for this City and is a true representative over there.

May I first of all say in this reply that I, along with the Corporate Director have sent a message of thanks to every member of the

Department saying how proud we are of them. This achievement is down to the dedication, professionalism and hard work of everyone that is committed and belongs to that Department, they have all worked tremendously well, working long hours and sometimes not always recognised but, we are recognising them here.

I want to try and put this fantastic achievement into context in the next few minutes. Although I do think in typical Malcolm Wood style the idea of a celebration was, I think, for Michael Williams to put his hand in his wallet and buy everybody a drink and as I can see Michael smiling “you’re not going to get that one!”.

Now, only seven single tier councils in the whole country have achieved 4 out of 4 for culture, only seven. Nottingham is the only major City in the country to have achieved a score of 4 for culture and no other Core City has achieved this mark.

Nottingham is the only city in the East Midlands to have achieved a score of 4 out of 4 for culture, this shows the continuous improvement of our services, our culture block score has increased from 2 in 2004, to 3 in 2005 and now 4 in 2006.

This achievement builds on the great result from the Cultural Services Inspection in June last year, when Nottingham was assessed as providing a ‘good service’ with ‘promising prospects for improvement’.

The outcome of the Corporate Performance Assessment 2006 refresh clearly shows that Nottingham provides quality leisure and cultural services which are valued by the public that we represent. Our 2006 Annual MORI survey results show that residents continue to be highly satisfied with leisure and cultural services in this City.

We have achieved the top score of 4 out of 4 for culture but we won’t be resting on our laurels, we are looking to improve on a day-to-day basis.

Now, because we are politicians, and we have got to have a bit of a play with some of these things, I did look up who had also achieved 4 out of 4. I’m now looking at my Liberal colleagues over there and

saying “don’t get excited cos it wasn’t you, none of you,” so that’s tough luck. But there was one out of the seven, these are some of the names, Blackpool (well that’s fair enough, that’s Labour), the Corporation of London (that don’t really count because we all know what that’s about), Halton, which is related to us but we have to find out where Halton is to be perfectly honest, but it gets 4 out of 4 and that’s also Labour, Tyneside, which I have often said is a City moving forward and also gets 4 out of 4. Tameside again is another Labour one, I just keep throwing these ones in because they’re there and the only one I could find, Georgina and Michael, that was Conservative was Southend-on-Sea, and guess what, there is even a connection because when Michael Williams was a lad in short trousers, he actually started his career in Southend-on-Sea.

Some say that they have heard rumoured that Michael burnt the pier down twice, but I have never believed it. I want to thank Michael and his entire team for this great achievement for the City, I am extremely pleased and proud to be connected with it and so thank you Lord Mayor, thank you Malcolm for the question, and thank you to all in Michael Williams’ department.

Thank you, Deputy Lord Mayor.

70 BUDGET 2007/2008

The report of Councillor Edwards (as set out on page 290 of the agenda) was submitted.

MOVED by Councillor Edwards by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Clark:-

In recommendation 3 add after “approved”,

‘subject to the following:-

that £1,658,169, a one off sum awarded in 2006/07 under the “Local Authority Business Growth Incentive” scheme, be released from reserves to support expenditure on developing business growth (£1,000,000), community and youth facilities maintenance and improvements (£458,169) and improvements in children’s social care (£200,000);

And amend the following recommendations as indicated:-

In recommendation 5 (a) substitute £890,435,905 for £888,777,736;
 In recommendation 5 (b) substitute £654,320,552 for £652,662,383.'

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was carried.

MOVED by Councillor Cowan by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Culley:-

Amendment No. 1

In paragraph (2) of the recommendation add after "approved":-

"subject to the following amounts being added to the proposed provisions:-

i) Public Sector Housing

	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	Total £000
Preventative adaptations for older people	+ 400	+400	+400	+1,200

ii) All other services

	2007/08 £000	2008/09 £000	2009/10 £000	Total £000
Disabled Facilities Grants	+534	+533	+533	+1,600
Disability Discrimination Act Works etc	+ 300	+100	0	+400
	+834	+633	+533	+2,000

Amendment No. 2

In paragraph (3) of the recommendation add after “approved”:-

“subject to the following:-

that central contingency provision of £1 million be reduced by, and the net revenue expenditure cash limits for Adult Services, Housing and Health be increased by, £341, 697

- (a) to cover the net cost in 2007/08 (£303,362) of continuing to provide 1,322 households with non-intensive homecare rather than reduce that number by 157 as provided for in the proposed budget, and
- (b) to delete the proposed 2% increase in charges for transport to and from day centres, meals at home, meals at day centres and homecare totalling £38,335

and that the portfolio holders’ budgets be adjusted accordingly.”

After discussion the amendments were put to the vote separately and were not carried.

MOVED by Councillor Culley by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Cowan:-

In paragraph (3) of the recommendation add after “approved”:-

“subject to the following:-

- (i) that the revenue budget for Marketing and Communications in the Performance and Strategy Group be reduced by £1 million pounds, and
- (ii) that the revenue budget for the Community Neighbourhood Protection Service and Community Safety in Neighbourhood Services be increased by £1 million to provide for the permanent employment of additional Neighbourhood Community Protection Officers to be deployed so as to increase the minimum number of

permanent posts in every Area to ten and thereafter as appropriate to the incidence of street crime and anti-social behaviour,

and that the portfolio holders' budgets be adjusted accordingly.”

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

MOVED by Councillor Clarke-Smith by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Cowan:-

In paragraph (3) of the recommendation add after “approved”:-

“subject to the following:-

- (i) that the revenue budget for Marketing and Communications in the Performance and Strategy Group be reduced by £750, 000,
- (ii) that the revenue budget for Waste Management in Neighbourhood Services be increased by £750, 000 to provide for an additional weekly collection of non-recyclable waste during the summer months from households on alternate weekly green bin collection,

and that the portfolio holders' budgets be adjusted accordingly.”

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

MOVED by Councillor Long by way of amendment and seconded by Councillor Stephenson:-

In recommendation 3 add after “approved”

‘subject to the following:-

that further efficiency savings be applied as follows:-

- Reduce the proposed budget within Performance and Strategy Group by £45,000; this to be achieved through administrative savings.
- Reduce the proposed budget within Children’s Services by £150, 000; this to be achieved through a VFM review.

- Reduce the proposed budget within City Development by £76,000; this to be achieved through efficiency savings in the directorate (£13,000), deletion of a finance post (£17,000), reduction of the Planning consultants budget (£26,000) and increases in sponsorship income (£20,000).
- Reduce the proposed budget within Leisure and Community Services by £209,000; this to be achieved through a review of the management structure (£120,000), increases in sponsorship income (£50,000) and rationalisation of support services staff (£39,000).
- Reduce the proposed budget within Neighbourhood Services by £25,000; this to be achieved through increased productivity of Grounds Maintenance.
- Reduce the proposed budget within Corporate Services by £470,000; this to be achieved through reversing the proposed increase in Arrow expenditure (£245,000), reductions in running costs (£50,000), increased electronic processing of payments (£15,000), review of recruitment process (£100,000), full recharging of project costs (£50,000), reduction in external audit fee (£10,000).

that the contingency budget is reduced from the proposed amount by a total of £500,000;

that the E-Government Fund budget is reduced from the proposed amount by a total of £300,000;

that a sum of £350,000 of the Insurance Provision be released to support revenue spending 2007/08;

that a sum of £350,000 of the Treasury Management Reserves be released to support revenue spending in 2007/08;

that a sum of £2,000,000 of the Unearmarked Reserves be released to support revenue spending in 2007/08;

and that the following increases in proposed budgets are applied:-

- Corporate Services budgets to be increased by £120,000 in respect of 'one stop' sustainability advice (£75,000) and improved debt collection processes (£45,000).
- Neighbourhood Services budgets to be increased by £3,885,000 in respect of more wardens (£285,000), tree trimming (£100,000), purchase of street cleaning equipment (£500,000) and carriageway/footway resurfacing (£3,000,000).
- Children's Services budgets to be increased by £150,000 in respect of parenting support.
- City Development budgets to be increased by £100,000 in respect of highways tree trimming.
- Adult Services, Housing and Health budgets to be increased by £220,000 in respect of occupational therapists (£75,000), community care officers (£75,000), contribution to Integrating Community Equipment Services (£35,000) and minor adaptations (£35,000).

And amend the following recommendations as indicated:-

In recommendation 5(a) substitute £893,755,905 for £890,435,905

In recommendation 5(b) substitute £657,640,552 for £654,320,552

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

MOVED by Councillor Mathews in accordance with Standing Order 12(a), and seconded by Councillor Griggs that the question before Council be put. The Deputy Lord Mayor being satisfied that the question before the meeting had been sufficiently discussed put the substantive motion, as amended, to the vote and it was carried by the requisite majority and the Council, having had regard to the statement of the Section 151 Officer and the consultation process, **RESOLVED:-**

- (1) that the overall Treasury Management Strategy for 2007/2008, including the Investment Strategy for 2007/2008 and the Prudential Indicators, be approved;**

- (2) that the Capital Programme 2007/2010 be approved;**
- (3) that the revenue estimates for 2007/2008 be approved, subject to the following:-**

that £1,658,169, a one off sum awarded in 2006/07 under the “Local Authority Business Growth Incentive” scheme, be released from reserves to support expenditure on developing business growth (£1,000,000), community and youth facilities maintenance and improvements (£458,169) and improvements in children’s social care (£200,000);

- (4) that it be noted that, in January 2007, the Council calculated the amount of 74,079.6 as its council tax base for the year 2007/2008 in accordance with regulation 3 of the Local Authorities (Calculation of Council Tax Base) Regulations 1992 made under Section 33(5) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992;**
- (5) that the following amounts be now calculated by the Council for the year 2007/2008 in accordance with Sections 32 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 (“the Act”):-**
 - (a) £890,435,905 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2)(a) to (e) of the Act;**
 - (b) £654,320,552 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3)(a) to (c) of the Act;**
 - (c) £236,115,353 being the amount by which the aggregate at (5)(a) above exceeds the aggregate at (5)(b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act, as its budget requirement for the year;**
 - (d) £146,059,746 being the aggregate of the sums which the Council estimates will be payable for the year into its General Fund in respect of the estimated formula grant, reduced by the amount of the sums which the Council**

estimates will be transferred in the year to or from its Collection Fund.

- (e) £1,215.66 being the amount at (5)(c) above less the amount at (5)(d) above, all divided by the amount at (4) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 33(1) of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year;

(f) Valuation Bands

A	B	C	D	E
£810.44	£945.51	£1,080.59	£1,215.66	£1,485.81
F	G	H		
£1,755.95	£2,026.10	£2,431.32		

being the amounts given by multiplying the amount at (5)(e) above by the number which, in the proportion set out in section 5(1) of the Act, is applicable to dwellings listed in a particular valuation band divided by the number which in that proportion is applicable to dwellings listed in valuation band D, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 36(1) of the Act, as the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands;

- (6) that the functions of the City Council with regard to council tax, non-domestic rate and residual poll tax, including their collection and recovery, continue to be exercised by the Executive Board;

(7) that it be noted that, for the financial year 2007/2008, the Nottinghamshire Police Authority had issued the following amounts in precepts in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of the dwellings shown below:-

A	B	C	D	E
£92.52	£107.94	£123.36	£138.78	£169.62
F	G	H		
£200.46	£231.30	£277.56		

(8) that it be noted that, for the financial year 2007/2008, the Nottinghamshire and City of Nottingham Fire and Rescue Authority had issued the following amounts in precepts in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 (which has been amended by the Local Government Act 2003 to include Combined Fire Authorities), for each of the categories of the dwellings shown below:-

A	B	C	D	E
£42.35	£49.41	£56.47	£63.53	£77.65
F	G	H		
£91.77	£105.88	£127.06		

(9) that the Council in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the following amounts as the council tax for the year 2007/2008 for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:-

A	B	C	D	E
£945.31	£1,102.86	£1,260.42	£1,417.97	£1,733.08
F	G	H		
£2,048.18	£2,363.28	£2,835.94		

- (10) that notice be published in accordance with the provisions of section 96(1) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992.

71 **NET PHASE 2 – TRANSPORT AND WORKS ACT ORDER SUBMISSION**

The report of Councillor Grocock (as set out on page 299 of the agenda) was submitted.

MOVED by way of amendment by Councillor Cowan and seconded by Councillor Culley:-

In recommendation 2.2 after ‘subject to the above’ insert “but not before 1 June 2007.”

After discussion the amendment was put to the vote and was not carried.

After further discussion the substantive motion was put to the vote and Council **RESOLVED** on the motion of Councillor Grocock, seconded by Councillor Taylor, and by a majority meeting the requirement of section 239 of the Local Government Act 1972, that:-

- (1) the joint promotion with Nottinghamshire County Council of an order made under the Transport and Works Act 1992 (“the Order”) by the Secretary of State for Transport:-
- (a) to authorise the construction and operation of two extensions to the Nottingham Express Transit System, running from the present terminus of the system at Nottingham railway station in the City of Nottingham to:-
 - (i) Clifton in the City of Nottingham; and
 - (ii) Chilwell in the Borough of Broxtowe in the County of Nottinghamshire via Beeston in that Borough (“the Extensions”);
 - (b) to make provision in the City of Nottingham and in the Boroughs of Broxtowe and Rushcliffe in the County of

Nottinghamshire for the stopping up or diversion of existing rights of way, traffic regulation, street works, the construction of tram stops along the route, park and ride facilities, other associated and consequential works, the compulsory purchase of land and rights in land, the use of land permanently, the temporary possession of land and other works and provisions necessary for the purposes of, or for purposes ancillary to the construction or operation of the Extensions; and

- (c) to provide for the repeal of provisions of the Greater Nottingham Light Rapid Transit Act 1994 (which authorised the construction and operation of the existing Nottingham Express Transit System), in particular so that from the day specified in the Order the operation and maintenance of the existing Nottingham Express Transit System will be governed by the Order rather than by that Act;**

be approved;

- (2) the Corporate Director of City Development, be authorised in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Transport and Street Services, to take all such steps as may be necessary (including a joint agreement with the County Council) to carry the above Resolution into effect, including all those steps required for the Council to apply for and thereafter to promote its application for the Order; and**
- (3) that the corporate seal of the Council be affixed to any documents required to be sealed in connection with the application for and subsequent promotion of the Order.**

The following Councillors requested that their votes dissenting from the above decision be recorded:-

Councillor Clarke-Smith
Councillor Cowan

Councillor Culley
Councillor Spencer

Councillor Price requested that his abstention from the above vote be recorded.

**72 NOTTINGHAMSHIRE AND NOTTINGHAM WASTE LOCAL PLAN
– ‘SAVED’ POLICIES**

The report of Councillor Clark (as set out on page 326 of the agenda) was submitted.

RESOLVED on the motion of Councillor Clark, seconded by Councillor Gibson, that the schedule of policies detailed in Appendix 1 to the report be submitted to the Government Office for the East Midlands as the City Council’s recommended approach to saving the policies of the Nottinghamshire and Nottingham Waste Local Plan.

73 COUNCIL MEETINGS SCHEDULE

RESOLVED that the following amendments to the schedule of full Council meeting dates be approved:-

- (1) the full Council meeting scheduled to be held on Monday 2 April 2007 be cancelled;**
- (2) that Annual Council be held on Friday 18 May 2007 instead of Monday 14 May 2007.**

The meeting closed at 9.25pm